

Attorney Docket No. Intel-005PUS

United States Patent Application

COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that

I verily believe I am the original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled: **OPTIMIZING CRITICAL SECTION MICROBLOCKS BY CONTROLLING THREAD EXECUTION.**

The specification of which is attached hereto.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 (attached hereto). I also acknowledge my duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability which became available between a filing date of a prior application and the national or PCT international filing date in the event this is a Continuation-In-Part application in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.63(e).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on the basis of which priority is claimed:

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 120 or 365(c) of any United States and PCT international application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT international application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(a) which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

No such claim for priority is being made at this time.

I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or patent agent(s) to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith:

Attorney Docket No.: Intel-005PUS
 Serial No. not assigned
 Filing Date: not assigned

Page 2 of 4

Aldous, Alan
 Bacon, Shircon
 Brake, Edward
 Burge, Ben
 Chang, Robert
 Chen, George
 Choi, Glen
 Cool, Kenneth J.
 Draeger, Jeffrey S.
 Faatz, Cindy
 Gagne, Christopher
 Green, Sharmini N.
 Greenberg, Robert A.
 Greenwald, Bradley A.

Reg. No. 31,905
 Reg. No. 40,494
 Reg. No. 37,784
 Reg. No. 42,372
 Reg. No. 48,765
 Reg. No. 50,807
 Reg. No. 43,546
 Reg. No. 40,570
 Reg. No. 41,000
 Reg. No. 39,973
 Reg. No. 36,142
 Reg. No. 41,410
 Reg. No. 44,133
 Reg. No. 34,341

Huter, Jeffrey B.
 Kelson, Seth
 Lam, Peter
 Lin, Isaac
 Laudmark, David C.
 Martinez, Anthony
 Mennemeyer, Larry
 Nagy, Paul
 Nicholls, Dennis A.
 Parker, Larry
 Plimier, Michael D.
 Proksch, Michael
 Reif, Kevin A.
 Sayles, Crystal D.

Reg. No. 41,086
 Reg. No. 40,670
 Reg. No. 44,855
 Reg. No. 50,672
 Reg. No. 42,815
 Reg. No. 44,223
 Reg. No. 51,003
 Reg. No. 37,896
 Reg. No. 42,036
 Reg. No. 44,281
 Reg. No. 43,004
 Reg. No. 43,021
 Reg. No. 36,381
 Reg. No. 44,318

Seddon, Ken
 Seeley, Mark
 Shah, Ami P.
 Simon, David
 Skubrat, Steve
 Steiner, Paul E.
 Struman-Horn, Joni
 Tran, David
 Wells, Calvin
 Willardson, Michael
 Winkle, Robert G.
 Wong, Sharon
 Yates, Steven D.
 Young, Charles
 Peterson, Cathy L.

Reg. No. 43,105
 Reg. No. 32,299
 Reg. No. 42,143
 Reg. No. 32,756
 Reg. No. 36,279
 Reg. No. 41,326
 Reg. No. 42,173
 Reg. No. 50,804
 Reg. No. 43,256
 Reg. No. 50,856
 Reg. No. 37,474
 Reg. No. 37,760
 Reg. No. 42,242
 Reg. No. 39,435
 Reg. No. 41,249

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/organization/who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Daly, Crowley & Mofford, LLP to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to Daly, Crowley & Mofford, LLP at the address indicated below:

c/o PortfolioIP
 P.O. Box 52050, Minneapolis, MN 55402
 Telephone No. 781-401-9988

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of joint inventor number 1: Gururaj M. Katti
 Citizenship: India
 Post Office Address: #587, 21st Main, 4th T Block
Jayanagar
Bangalore, Karnataka 560041 India

Residence: Bangalore, Karnataka

Signature: _____

Gururaj M. Katti

Date: 12th September 2003

☒ Additional inventors are being named on separately numbered sheets, attached hereto.

Attorney Docket No.: Intel-005PUS
Serial No. not assigned
Filing Date: not assigned

Page 3 of 4

Full Name of joint inventor number 2 : K.V. Krishnam Raju
Citizenship: India
Post Office Address: #153, 5th Main, 2nd Block
RT Nagar
Bangalore, Karnataka 560032 India

Residence: Bangalore, Karnataka

Signature: K.V. Krishnam Raju

Date: 12th September 2003

Full Name of joint inventor number 3 : Shreekanth Patel
Citizenship: India
Post Office Address: #583, 21st Main, 4th T Block
Jayanagar
Bangalore, Karnataka 560041 India

Residence: Bangalore, Karnataka

Signature: Shreekanth Patel

Date: 12th September 2003

Attorney Docket No.: Intel-005PUS
Serial No. not assigned
Filing Date: not assigned

Page 4 of 4

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and

- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
- (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

(c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

- (1) Each inventor named in the application;
- (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.

(d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.